Intentionally added plastics in personal care products – a key source of microplastics to the environment: An introduction to the effects and mitigation

This working paper was prepared independently by members of the Scientists' Coalition for an Effective Plastics Treaty: Freija Mendrik (University of Plymouth, UK), Trisia Farrelly (Massey University, New Zealand), Stephanie Reynaud (Université de Pau et des Pays de L'Adour, France), Winnie Courtene-Jones (Bangor University, UK), and Richard C. Thompson (University of Plymouth, UK). Corresponding author email: freija.mendrik@plymouth.ac.uk.

Introduction

It is estimated 12.7 million metric tons (MT) of plastics enter the environment as microplastics every year, the main sources being paint, tyres, pellets, textiles and personal care products ⁽¹⁾. There are substantial additional quantities from the fragmentation of larger items of plastic that have already entered the environment as debris. This document focuses on personal care products, which are estimated to account for an annual release of 0.055 MT ⁽¹⁾ of microplastics to the environment. Microplastics are persistent and once in the environment it is not practical to remove them. Hence, interventions to minimise emissions and releases are key. Business-as-usual scenarios suggest the environmental accumulation of microplastics could cause wide scale ecological harm within the next 100 years ⁽²⁾.

Personal care products as a source

A key source is microplastics that are intentionally added to personal care products (PCPs), including "rinse off" products such as cosmetics, sunscreens, antiperspirants, facial scrubs, and soaps, as well as in toothpaste and a range of household and industrial cleaning products. The plastic particles used in these products are typically $1-1000~\mu m$ in size, and some products can contain millions of plastic particles that are subsequently released to wastewater ⁽³⁾. Because these particles for these applications are manufactured to be $\leq 5 mm$, they are described as primary microplastics..

Microplastics intentionally added in the manufacture of PCPs are typically called "microbeads" and exist in various shapes including ellipses, irregular fragments and spheres $^{(3,4)}$. In locations that benefit from advanced wastewater treatment, a substantial proportion of larger microplastics ($\sim 300 \mu m$) may be captured, but uncaptured plastics including smaller pieces pass directly to the environment with 'treated' water ⁽⁵⁾. In addition, it is common practice to apply biosolids from wastewater, which include captured plastic particles, to land as fertiliser ⁽⁶⁾, or to incinerate them, resulting in emissions of carbon dioxide and potentially harmful substances including additional microplastics emissions ^(7,8).

Intentionally designed and manufactured microplastics used in PCPs are typically made from polyethylene (PE) (including glitter commonly used in cosmetics), but also include poly (ethylene terephthalate) (PET), nylon, polypropylene (PP) and poly (methyl methacrylate) (PMMA) ^(3,4). Like all plastics, once in the environment, microplastics will weather and fragment into smaller particles ⁽⁹⁾. They can be redistributed by air, water and organisms, however their heterogeneity results in differing transport potential to that of natural particles ⁽¹⁰⁾. While natural particles are a normal component of ecosystem dynamics and cause minimal harm, there is substantial evidence of toxicity resulting from exposure to microplastics in general ^(11,12) and to microplastics from PCPs ⁽¹³⁾. While there is limited information on the chemical composition of microplastics used in PCPs, plastics more generally can contain mixtures of polymers, unreacted monomers, oligomers, additives, and non-intentionally added substances (NIAS) ⁽¹⁴⁾. Microplastics including those used in PCPs can also accumulate harmful chemicals ⁽³⁾, such as heavy metals and organic pollutants from the environment and may facilitate the uptake of chemicals by organisms ⁽⁹⁾. In addition, microbial surface colonisation can result in transport of pathogens including *Vibrio spp.* and *E. coli*, and antibiotic resistance genes ^(15,16). A survey in the UK indicated consumers were alarmed about the presence of plastic microbeads in their cosmetics, and the societal benefit of this intentional addition of microplastics was unclear ⁽¹⁷⁾.

For plastic particles in PCPs that are applied to the skin, a key pathway into the human body could be via dermal absorption, with concerns being raised in relation to a range of particle sizes such as microplastics in sunscreens⁽¹⁸⁾ and nanoplastics in face-scrubs ⁽¹⁹⁾. However, the importance of this route is yet to be confirmed.

Interventions to eliminate the release of intentionally added microplastics

Regulating and monitoring primary microplastics that are manufactured (\leq 5mm) and intentionally added to PCPs (Fig. 1) can be relatively straightforward. For example, microbeads added to PCPs have now been banned in at least 14 countries, as well as the European Economic Area (EEA) (20), and in 2023 the EU chemical legislation REACH expanded this ban to all products containing intentionally added microplastics (21). The global plastics treaty provides a key opportunity to regulate "problematic and avoidable" microplastics (Part II.3) by establishing a global ban on the production, use in manufacturing, sale, distribution, import or export on microplastics that are "intentionally added" to products. Concerns have been raised about replacing conventional plastics in these products with biodegradable plastic 'alternatives', because it is unlikely these will readily degrade in the natural environment (4,22). Several natural alternatives to microplastics in PCPs exist including ground nut shells, coconut husk powder, sea salt, coffee, oatmeal, and pumice (23).



Figure 1. Left: Intentionally added microplastics (microbeads) extracted from a cosmetic product (60 times magnification); Top right: microbeads extracted from a range of cosmetics in 2015, shown in small jars in front of the product. Some of these products contain millions of these plastic particles. Bottom left: the same products had no such particles (empty jars) after UK legislation in 2018. Source: University of Plymouth

Reviewers: Bethanie Carney Almroth, Justin Boucher

Please cite this as: Mendrik, F., Farrelly, T., Reynaud, S.; Courtene-Jones, W.; Thompson, R.T.(2024) Intentionally added plastics in personal care products - a key source of microplastics to the environment - effects and mitigation. Available from:

https://ikhapp.org/material/intentionally-added-plastics-in-personal-care-products-a-key-source-of-microplastic s-to-the-environment-an-introduction-to-the-effects-and-mitigation/.

References

- 1. Earth Action, Leakage of microplastics into oceans and land (2023).
- 2. Everaert, G., et al., Risk assessment of microplastics in the ocean: Modelling approach and first conclusions. Environ. Pollut. 2018. 242, 1930-1938.
- 3. Napper IE, et al., Characterisation, Quantity and Sorptive Properties of Microplastics Extracted From Cosmetics. Mar. Pollut. Bull, 2015;99:178-85.
- 4. UNEP (2015) Plastic in Cosmetics
- 5. Talvitie, J., et al., How well is microlitter purified from wastewater?—A detailed study on the stepwise removal of microlitter in a tertiary level wastewater treatment plant. Water Res., 2017. 109, 164-172.
- 6. Cydzik-Kwiatkowska, A., et al., Fate of microplastic in sludge management systems. Sci. Total Environ., 2022. 848, 157466.

- 7. Turovskiy, Izrail S., & P. K. Mathai. Wastewater sludge processing. John Wiley & Sons, 2006.
- 8. Yang, Z., et al., Is incineration the terminator of plastics and microplastics?, J. Hazard. Mater., 2021. 401, 123429.
- 9. Hartmann NB, et al., Are we speaking the same language? Recommendations for a definition and categorization framework for plastic debris. Environ. Sci. Tech., 2019. 1039-1047.
- 10. K. Waldschläger *et al.* Learning from natural sediments to tackle microplastics challenges: A multidisciplinary perspective, Earth Sci. Res 228 (2022).
- 11. SAPEA, A Scientific Perspective on Microplastics in Nature and Society, 2019.
- 12. Gomes, T., et al., Ecotoxicological impacts of micro-and nanoplastics in terrestrial and aquatic environments. *Microplastic in the environment: Pattern and process*, 2022 pp.199-260.
- 13. Kalčíková, G., et al., Impact of polyethylene microbeads on the floating freshwater plant duckweed Lemna minor. Environ. Pollut., 2017. 230, 1108-1115.
- 14. Wagner, M., et al., State of the science on plastic chemicals-Identifying and addressing chemicals and polymers of concern. PlastChem 2024.
- 15. Junaid, M., et al., (2022). Enrichment and dissemination of bacterial pathogens by microplastics in the aquatic environment. Sci. Total Environ., 2022. 830, 154720.
- 16. E. M. Stevenson, et al., Selection for antimicrobial resistance in the plastisphere. Sci. Total Environ., 2024. 168234.
- 17. Anderson AG et al., Microplastics in personal care products: Exploring perceptions of environmentalists, beauticians and students. Mar. Pollut. Bull. 2016. 113(1-2)
- 18. Sun, A., & Wang, W. X., Photodegradation of microplastics by ZnO nanoparticles with resulting cellular and subcellular responses. Environ. Sci. Tech. 2023, 57(21), 8118-8129.
- 19. Gopinath, P. M., et al. Prospects on the nano-plastic particles internalization and induction of cellular response in human keratinocytes. Part. Fibre Toxicol., 2021, 18 1-24.
- 20. Raubenheimer, K., & Niko U. Global criteria to address problematic, unnecessary and avoidable plastic products. Nordic Council of Ministers, 2024
- 21. European Commission, amending Annex XVII to Regulation (EC) No 1907/2006 of the European Parliament and of the Council concerning the Registration, Evaluation, Authorisation and Restriction of Chemicals (REACH) as regards synthetic polymer microparticles. 14 (2023).
- 22. Scientists' Coalition for an Effective Plastics Treaty (2023) *Policy Brief: The global plastics treaty: What is the role of bio-based plastic, biodegradable plastic and bioplastic? (possible core obligation 8).* DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.10021063
- 23. Girard, N., et al. Microbeads: "Tip of the Toxic Plastic-berg"? Regulation, Alternatives, and Future Implications. Institute for Science, Society and Policy: Ottawa, ON, Canada, 2016. 210-230.